Vanishing of ℓ^p-cohomology via transport and boundary values.[1]

Antoine Gournay[2]

arXiv:1207.0451v3 [math.GR] 27 Sep 2012

Abstract

The vanishing of reduced ℓ^2-cohomology for amenable groups can be traced to the work of Cheeger & Gromov in [6]. Whether the same thing can be said for other $p \in]1,\infty[$ still remains open. This paper contains new results in this direction: a class of amenable groups (called "transport amenable", for which a transport problem on the approximate left-invariant vectors may be solved) have trivial reduced ℓ^p-cohomology up to degree n if they are of type FP_n.

Introducing a non-symmetric random walk, a characterisation of non-trivial elements in graphs with positive isoperimetric constant (resp. non-amenable groups) in terms of boundary value is obtained. This implies a natural inclusion from ℓ^p-cohomology into ℓ^q-cohomology if $1 \leq p < q$. These are used to obtain an alternative proof of the following statement: if G is a group admitting a presentation with k generators and $\leq k-2$ relations, and if N is a normal subgroup then either N is finite, N is of finite index, or N is not finitely generated.

The vanishing of reduced ℓ^p-cohomology implies the absence of non-constant p-harmonic continuous functions or forms ($p \in]1,\infty[$). Using the invariance properties, the above results transfer to Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry quasi-isometric to the afore-mentionned graphs and groups.

1 Introduction

1.1 Preliminaries

A graph $\Gamma = (X,E)$ is defined by X, its set of vertices, and E, its set of edges. All graphs will be assumed to be of bounded valency. The set of edges will be thought of as a subset of $X \times X$. Except in §3 (where some orientations come into play) the set of edges will be assumed symmetric (*i.e.* $(x,y) \in E \Rightarrow (y,x) \in E$). Functions will take value in $\mathbb{K}$, a complete normed field where classical theorems about Banach spaces hold, *e.g.* $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, functions on E will always be assumed to be anti-symmetric (*i.e.* $f(x,y) = -f(y,x)$). This said $\ell^p(X)$ is the Banach space of functions on the vertices which are p-summable, while $\ell^p(E)$ will be the subspace of (anti-symmetric) functions on the edges which are p-summable.

The gradient $\nabla : \mathbb{K}^X \to \mathbb{K}^E$ is defined by $\nabla g(\gamma,\gamma') = g(\gamma') - g(\gamma)$. Given a finitely generated group G and a finite set S, the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ is the graph whose vertices are the element of G and $(\gamma,\gamma') \in E$ if $\exists s \in S$ such that $s^{-1}\gamma = \gamma'$. In order for the resulting graph to have a symmetric edge set, S is always going to be symmetric (*i.e.* $s \in S \Rightarrow s^{-1} \in S$). Also, Cayley graphs are always going to be connected (*i.e.* S is generating). This said, it is worthwhile to observe that the gradient is made of $\{(\lambda_s - \mathrm{Id})g\}_{s \in S}$ where λ is the left-regular representation. As for the right-regular representation, it is a (injective) homomorphism from G into $\mathrm{Aut}\big(\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)\big)$, the automorphism group of the Cayley graph.

The Banach space of p-Dirichlet functions is the space of functions f on X such that $\nabla f \in \ell^p(E)$. It will be denoted $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$. In order to introduce the $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$-norm on $\mathbb{K}^X$, it is necessary to choose a vertex, denoted e_Γ (in a Cayley graph, it is convenient to choose the neutral element). This said $\|f\|^p_{\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)} = \|\nabla f\|^p_{\ell^p(E)} + |f(e_\Gamma)|^p$. Lastly, p' will denote the Hölder conjugate exponent of p, *i.e.* $p' = p/(p-1)$ (with the usual convention that 1 and ∞ are conjugate).

Though some results are obtained in higher degree, the subject matter is the ℓ^p-cohomology in degree one of the graph Γ. This is the quotient

$$\ell^p H^1(\Gamma) := (\ell^p(E) \cap \nabla \mathbb{K}^X)/\nabla \ell^p(X).$$

---

[1] MSC: Primary 20J06; Secondary: 20E22, 31C05, 43A07, 60J50

[2] Université de Neuchâtel, Rue É.-Argand 11, 2000 Neuchâtel, Suisse.

This space is not always separated, and it is sometimes more convenient to look at the largest separated quotient, the reduced ℓ^p-cohomology,

$$\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma) := (\ell^p(E) \cap \nabla \mathbb{K}^X) / \overline{\nabla \ell^p(X)}^{\ell^p(E)}.$$

By taking the primitive of these gradients, one may also prefer to define this by $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma) := \mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)/\overline{\ell^p(X) + \mathbb{K}}^{\mathsf{D}^p}$. A common abuse of language/notation will happen when we say the reduced cohomology is equal to the non-reduced one: this means that the "natural" quotient map $\ell^p H^1(\Gamma) \to \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$ is injective.

When G is a finitely generated group, this is isomorphic to the cohomology of the left-regular representation on $\ell^p(G)$, see Puls' paper [27] or Martin & Valette [19]. Another important result is that ℓ^p-cohomology is an invariant of quasi-isometry:

Theorem. (see Élek [8, §3], Pansu [24] or Bourdon & Pajot [4, Théorème 1.1]) *If two graphs of bounded valency Γ and Γ' are quasi-isometric, then they have the same ℓ^p-cohomology (in all degrees, reduced or not).*

The result is actually much more powerful, in the sense that it holds for a large category of measure metric spaces (see above mentioned references). A first useful consequence is that it is possible to work on graphs and obtain results about manifold (or *vice-versa*, when it is more convenient). A second corollary is that if G is a finitely generated group, the ℓ^p-cohomology of any two Cayley graphs are isomorphic. Consequently, one may speak of the ℓ^p-cohomology of a group without making reference to a Cayley graph.

To be more precise, Kanai has shown [16] that any Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature and injectivity radius bounded from below is quasi-isometric to a graph (of bounded valency). Also, if M is a compact Riemannian manifold and $\widetilde{M}$ its universal covering, then $\widetilde{M}$ is quasi-isometric to the fundamental groups $\pi_1(M)$. Even if the language is that of graphs, there is always a corresponding result for Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry (see corollary 1.8 for a summary of the results expressed on Riemannian manifolds).

The main goal of this paper is to give partial answers to a question (dating back at least to Gromov [11, §8.A_1.(A_2), p.226]):

Question. *Let G be an amenable group, is it true that for one (and hence all) Cayley graph Γ and all $1 < p < \infty$, $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma) = 0$?*

In this remark, Gromov indicates an argument which should show that the answer is affirmative for groups with a finite $K(G,1)$ (so, in particular, finitely presented). The case $p = 1$ is slightly singular (see appendix A). For $p = 2$, the positive answer is a famous result of Cheeger & Gromov [6] (see also Lück's book [17]). The results presented here will cover other partial (positive) answers due to Kappos [14], Martin & Valette [19] and Tessera [31].

A sequence of finite sets $\{F_n\}$ in G will be called a left-Følner sequence (resp. right-Følner sequence) if

$$\forall \gamma \in G, \frac{|\gamma F_n \Delta F_n|}{|F_n|} \overset{n}{\to} 0, \qquad (\text{resp. } \forall \gamma \in G, \frac{|F_n \gamma \Delta F_n|}{|F_n|} \overset{n}{\to} 0\ ).$$

A finitely generated group is amenable if and only if it has a Følner sequence. Following Tessera [31], the Følner sequence will be called *controlled*, if the above ratio is less than $K(\mathrm{diam} F_n)^{-1}$ for some constant K.

Existence of a left- (resp. right-) Følner sequence is equivalent to the existence of an invariant mean, *i.e.* a positive, linear, continuous, left- (resp. right-) translation invariant map $\mu : \ell^\infty(G) \to \mathbb{R}$, often normalised so that $\mu(\mathbf{1}_G) = 1$. This, in turn, implies the existence of a sequence of finitely supported positive probability measures ξ_n satisfying $\|\lambda_\gamma \xi_n - \xi_n\|_{\ell^1(G)} \to 0$ (resp. $\|\rho_\gamma \xi_n - \xi_n\|_{\ell^1(G)} \to 0$). It is a standard trick to construct a bi-invariant (*i.e.* left- *and* right-) version of any of the previous items from a left- (resp. right-) invariant one. There are many possible references on this topic, *e.g.* Paterson's [25] or Pier's [26] book.

A last important quantity to introduce, before moving on to the results, is the isoperimetric constant of an infinite graph. For a set of vertices A let ∂A be the edges between A and A^{c}. Then

$$\imath(\Gamma) = \inf \left\{ \frac{|\partial A|}{|A|} \,\middle|\, A \subset X \text{ is finite and non-empty} \right\}.$$

The isoperimetric constant is not an invariant of quasi-isometry, but its vanishing is. In particular (remember the convention that Cayley graphs are always connected), G is an amenable group precisely when one (hence all) of its Cayley graph have $\imath(\Gamma) = 0$. Similarly, G is a non-amenable groups precisely when one (hence all) of its Cayley graph have $\imath(\Gamma) > 0$.

1.2 Results

The first theorem reduces the problem to a problem of transportation theory.

Definition 1.1. *A finitely generated group G is called transport amenable if there exists S a finite generating set, a positive constant $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence of finitely supported probability measure ξ_n converging to a left-invariant mean such that, $\forall s \in S$ and $\forall \alpha$, the transport cost*

$$\mathrm{TC}(\rho_s \xi_n^\vee, \xi_n^\vee) = \inf_{m \in M(\rho_s \xi_n^\vee, \xi_n^\vee)} \int_{G \times G} d_\Gamma(x,y) \mathrm{d}m(x,y) \leq K,$$

where d_Γ is the distance in the Cayley graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$, $\xi^\vee(x) = \xi(x^{-1})$ (so that $\rho_s \xi^\vee = (\lambda_s \xi)^\vee$), and $M(\xi, \phi)$ is the collection of finitely supported measures on $G \times G$ with marginals ξ and ϕ.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a finitely generated group. If G is transport amenable then the degree one reduced ℓ^p-cohomology of G vanishes for all $p \in]1, \infty[$. If G is of type FP_n the ℓ^p-cohomology also vanishes in degrees $\leq n$.*

This theorem extends a result of Tessera [31, Theorem 2.2] (when the L^p-representation is the left-regular representation) concerning groups with controlled Følner sequence (henceforth abbreviated by CF) have trivial reduced degree one ℓ^p-cohomology. The exact range (in the class of amenable groups) of Tessera's result (and, consequently, of theorem 1.2) is unclear, though Tessera's article [32] gives a temptingly complete description of such groups. This class contains groups of polynomial growth, polycyclic groups and wreath products $H' \wr \mathbb{Z}$ where H' is a finite group.

Many groups with CF were already known to have trivial Poisson boundary, see Kaimanovich's [15, Theorem 3.3] for $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$ and [15, Corollary on p.23] for polycyclic groups. R. Tessera pointed out to the author that this is indeed the case for all groups with CF. Indeed, one can construct "controlled" almost-invariant vectors (in the ℓ^2-left-regular representation) and, using an argument of Austin, Naor & Peres from [1], conclude that their Poisson boundary must be trivial (see Tessera's article [32]).

However, theorem 1.2 applies to groups where it is known that [31, Theorem 2.2] does not apply (see the beginning of §2 or examples 2.2.3 and 2.2.4). To see this, one must invoke the results of Erchler [9] (or look again in [32]). Indeed, groups with CF have an isoperimetric profile in $\log v$, so that $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}^2$ may not have CF. These example are all wreath products, most of which do not have a trivial Poisson boundary.

It was known that groups with property FP_n and infinitely many finite conjugacy classes (*e.g.* an infinite centre) have trivial reduced ℓ^p-cohomology up to degree n (see Kappos' preprint [14, Theorem 6.4], or Martin & Valette's paper [19, Theorem.(iii)] for a weaker statement). Note that the same method used for theorem 1.2 may be applied to recover this vanishing result, see theorem 2.1.2 for degree one and section 2.3 for higher degree.

The second result is an (expected) inclusion phenomenon.

Theorem 1.3. *If Γ is a graph with positive isoperimetric constant, then, $\forall 1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$, the natural (identity) map (which is a quotient) in cohomology $\underline{\ell^q H}^1(\Gamma) \to \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$ is an injection (and the cohomology is always reduced,* i.e. *$\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma) = \ell^p H^1(\Gamma)$).*

More precisely, if $n = \lceil \imath(\Gamma)^{-1} \rceil$ and Γ' is the graph obtained from Γ by adding edges between all points at distance n in Γ, then there is a spanning tree T in Γ' so that the non-trivial cohomology classes are exactly those non-trivial cohomology class in T which also belong to $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma')$.

In particular, this holds for (the Cayley graph of) any non-amenable group G.

This extends the result of Bourdon & Pajot [4, Théorème 1.1] and Pansu [22, Théorème 1] from hyperbolic groups or spaces to non-amenable ones. What is perhaps more interesting is that to compute the ℓ^p cohomology of a graph, one needs only to run through the list of boundary values for a tree, and look which one are in D^p of the initial graph. Also, this means the only known non-trivial cohomology classes all come from those of a tree with positive isoperimetric constant. This makes an hypothetical non-trivial cohomology class in an amenable groups even more remarkable.

In higher degree, there is no hope for such inclusion results. Pansu computed in [23, Théorème B] that, already in degree 2, some groups have non-trivial cohomology exactly in an interval.

Remember that graphs (and hence groups) with one end have $\underline{\ell^1 H}^1(\Gamma) = 0$ (see appendix A) and that a group is amenable if and only if $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma) \neq \ell^p H^1(\Gamma)$ (for some, and hence any, $p \in]1, \infty[$), see Guichardet [12, Corollaire 1] or Martin & Valette [19, Corollary 2.4]. As an upshot, if there is a general inclusion, it may not hold either for $p = 1$ or for groups with two ends or more.

Using these boundary values and techniques from Martin & Valette [19], it is possible to get a vanishing result for non-amenable groups:

Theorem 1.4. *Assume G is a finitely generated group and $N \lhd G$ is non-amenable (so infinite) and finitely generated. If G/N is infinite, then for all Cayley graph Γ of G, $\ell^p H^1(\Gamma) = 0$ (for $1 < p < \infty$).*

As a corollary, a weaker version of a result of Gaboriau [10, Théorème 6.8] can be recovered:

Corollary 1.5. *Assume G is a finitely generated group, $N \lhd G$ is infinite and finitely generated and G/N is infinite. Then, for all Cayley graph Γ of G, $\underline{\ell^2 H}^1(\Gamma) = 0$.*

Indeed, Cheeger & Gromov [6] give the result for N amenable and Theorem 1.4 provides it for N non-amenable. Though weaker than [10, Théorème 6.8], it suffices to get the nice consequence (already present in Gaboriau's paper [10]) that, if G is as in the previous corollary, then its deficiency (the maximum of "number of generators minus number of relations" in any presentation) is less or equal to 1 (see Eckmann's [7] or Lück's [18, §6.4] surveys for details on the relation between ℓ^2-cohomology and deficiency). By contraposition, this means that if a group has a presentation with k generators and $\leq k - 2$ relations, and N is a normal subgroup then either N is finite, its index is finite, or N is not finitely generated. As Gaboriau notices, this may be interpreted as a generalisation of a result of Schreier (on free groups).

Irritatingly, it is not known whether the inclusion might still hold for amenable groups (this is equivalent to the vanishing for $1 < p < 2$, the reduced ℓ^2-cohomology being trivial, in all degrees ≥ 1, by Cheeger & Gromov [6]). The methods extend to some amenable groups given that a "nice" sub-orientation exists (for a more precise description, see subsection 3.5). Given a sub-orientation E', define the cone of a vertex x to be the subgraph of (X, E') induced by vertices which may be reached from x.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\Gamma = (X, E)$ be a graph and let $E' \subset E$ be an acyclic sub-orientation. Assume that there is a vertex r whose cone is (X, E') and that there are only finitely many possibilities for the cones of vertices. Finally, define $\nu : X \to [0, +\infty[$ as follows: assume a random walker (simple but following the orientation given by E') starts at r, then $\nu(\gamma)$ is the expected value of the number of visits at γ. If p is such that $\sum_{i \geq 0} \|\nu\|^{p'}_{\ell^\infty(\delta B_i)}$ is finite (where δB_n is the set of points reachable in exactly n steps from r), then, $\forall q \in [1, p]$, the natural quotient $\underline{\ell^q H}^1(\Gamma) \to \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$ is an injection.*

The difficulty in applying the preceding theorem lies in exhibiting an orientation E'. A tempting candidate can be constructed. For example, one may use a result of B. Seward [29] stating that every amenable group has a Cayley graph Γ with a spanning 2-regular tree. Equivalently, this is a map $f : \mathbb{Z} \to X$ such that $f(n)$ and $f(n+1)$ are neighbours. Define $g : X \to \mathbb{N}$ by $g(x) = |f^{-1}(x)|$, then define $E' = \{(x, x') \in X \times X \mid g(x') > g(x)\}$ and pick $r = f(0)$. The author could not get the other desired properties.

When $1 < p < \infty$, it is known (see Puls [27, §3] or Martin & Valette [19, §3]) that the existence of non-constant p-harmonic function (*i.e.* $h \in \mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$ with $\nabla^* \mu_{p,p'} \nabla h = 0$, where $\mu_{p,p'}$ is the Mazur map defined by $(\mu_{p,p'} f)(\gamma) = |f(\gamma)|^{p-2} f(\gamma)$) is equivalent to non-vanishing in reduced cohomology. This gives

Corollary 1.7. *Let $p \in]1, \infty[$ and Γ be a graph such that either*

- *Γ is the Cayley graph of a finitely generated group G, and G has infinitely many finite conjugacy classes (*e.g. *G has infinite centre);*
- *Γ is the Cayley graph of a finitely generated group G, and G is transport amenable (*e.g. *G has a controlled Føner sequence or G is of the form $H' \wr H$ where H' and H are themselves transport amenable);*
- *Γ is the Cayley graph of a finitely generated group G, and there is a finitely generated normal non-amenable subgroup $N \lhd G$;*
- *Γ has positive isoperimetric constant and $\exists q \in [p, \infty[$ such that there are no non-constant bounded q-harmonic functions on Γ.*

Then there are no non-constant p-harmonic functions on Γ.

The conclusion for groups with two ends may be obtained either by theorem 1.2 or theorem 2.1.2.

Finally, using quasi-isometry to express this result on Riemannian manifolds, one obtains:

Corollary 1.8. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold (with Ricci curvature and injectivity radius bounded below). Let $p \in]1, \infty[$. The degree one reduced ℓ^p-cohomology of M vanishes and (equivalently) there are no non-constant p-harmonic functions on M*

- *if M is a transport amenable Lie group;*
- *if M is the universal cover of a compact Riemannian manifold M' with $\pi_1(M')$ having infinitely many finite conjugacy classes;*
- *if M is the universal cover of a compact Riemannian manifold M' with $\pi_1(M')$ having a finitely generated normal non-amenable subgroup;*
- *if M has a positive isoperimetric constant and $\underline{\ell^q H}^1(M) = 0$ or (equivalently) there are no non-constant q-harmonic functions for some $q \in [p, \infty[$.*

Furthermore, $\underline{\ell^1 H}^1(M) = 0$ if and only if M has one end.

1.3 ℓ^p-cohomology in higher degree

In order to describe ℓ^p-cohomology for groups in higher degree, it is convenient to start with Γ a complex whose k-skeleton $\Gamma^{[k]}$ is countable for all $k \geq 0$ and infinite. Assume that the complex is contractible, then, as before, it seems a good idea to introduce ℓ^p-cohomology to distinguish possible behaviours at infinity. Indeed, boundary and coboundary operators may be defined on chains and cochains. Assume further that the coboundary operators henceforth denoted by $\nabla_k : \mathcal{C}^{k-1}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{C}^k(\Gamma)$ where $\mathcal{C}^k$ is the dual of the space of finitely supported chains of dimension $k \geq -1$. The convention in dimension -1, is $\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \mathbb{K}$ and ∇_0 is the map realising this as a constant function on $\Gamma^{[0]}$. If $\nabla_k c$ is finitely supported when c is, define

$$\ell^p H^k(\Gamma) = \big(\nabla_k \mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-1]}} \cap \ell^p(\Gamma^{[k]})\big) / \nabla_k \ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]}) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\ell^p H}^k(\Gamma) = \big(\nabla_k \mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-1]}} \cap \ell^p(\Gamma^{[k]})\big) / \overline{\nabla_k \ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]})}^{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k]})}$$

The typical example is to take a group G and let Γ be the universal covering of a CW-complex of type $K(G,1)$ (a Eilenberg-MacLane complex). When G is of type FP_n, then ∇_k is actually bounded from $\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]})$ to $\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k]})$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$ (∇_0 is not bounded unless $\Gamma^{[0]}$ is finite, which is excluded in this discussion). Thus, when G is of type FP_n and Γ is as above, the quotient defining ℓ^p-cohomology in degree $k \geq 1$ may be rewritten as

$$\underline{\ell^p H}^k(\Gamma) = \mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma) / \overline{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]}) + \nabla_{k-1} \mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}}^{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)}$$

where $\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$ is the semi-norm defined on $\mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-1]}}$ by $\|f\|_{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)} = \|\nabla_k f\|_{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k]})}$. The kernel of this semi-norm is $\nabla_{k-1} \mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}$ (for $k \geq 1$). To define the higher cohomology of a metric measure space, one usually looks at its Rips complex (see Élek [8] and Pansu [24]).

Acknowledgments: The author is grateful to M. de la Salle, P. Pansu, T. Pillon, J. C. Sikorav and R. Tessera for pointing out mistakes in and improvements to the previous versions.

2 Vanishing via transportation cost

This section contains the proof of theorem 1.2. On the way, the fact that groups with infinitely many finite conjugacy classe have vanishing ℓ^p-cohomology in degree one ($1 < p < \infty$) is recovered, see theorem 2.1.2.

Theorem 1.2, like Tessera's theorem (see [31, Theorem 2.2] or theorem 2.2.2 in the present text), imply vanishing of reduced degree one cohomology for groups with CF. The simplest example of a Følner sequence which is not CF is a sub-sequence of balls in a group of intermediate growth (the term "sub"-sequence is necessary to make sure it may be a Følner sequence).

Two examples are made in which theorem 1.2 applies. These groups are lamplighters $H' \wr H$ where H' and H are of polynomial growth or are themselves groups of this form (see examples 2.2.3 and 2.2.4). This means that the theorem applies to $(H_1 \wr H_2) \wr (H_3 \wr H_4)$ if all H_i are of polynomial growth (finite or infinite).

Wreath products are loudly put forth in the previous paragraph for three reasons. First, those group (except those of the form $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$ where F is finite) do not possess a CF. Second, outside uninteresting cases (*i.e.* $H' \wr H$ where H is finite or $H' = \{e\}$), they are never finitely presented (see Baumslag's paper [2]). Lastly, groups like $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}^3$ have non-trivial Poisson boundary (see Kaimanovich [15, Theorem 3.3], and recall that all groups with CF have trivial Poisson boundary).

A result due to Martin & Valette [19, Theorem.(iv)] shows that wreath products $H' \wr H$ where H' is *non-amenable* have trivial reduced ℓ^p-cohomology ($1 < p < \infty$) in degree one, independently of H (so, in particular, if H has non-trivial reduced ℓ^p-cohomology). Thus, it might very well be that all (non-trivial) wreath products have trivial ℓ^p cohomology.

The methods presented here may apply to non-amenable groups, but the author could not find any example (apart for groups with infinitely many finite conjugacy classes). It also applies to graphs, but the proper conditions on the graphs are not so easy to formulate unless the group of automorphism has a co-compact action (and then invariance by quasi-isometry allows to reduce the problem directly to this group) or in some simple situation (*e.g.* some uniform polynomial growth of balls). Thus the result is expressed here only for amenable groups.

Throughout this section δ_γ is the Dirac mass at γ: $\delta_\gamma(\eta) = 0$ if $\eta \neq \gamma$ and 1 if $\eta = \gamma$. The following convention for the (left-)convolution of function will be in use:

$$\xi * f(\eta) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} \xi(\gamma) \lambda_\gamma f(\eta) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} \xi(\gamma) f(\gamma^{-1}\eta)$$

Also, since this section deals exclusively with Cayley graphs of a group G, the vertex set X is equal to (and thus replaced by) G.

2.1 Convergence criterion in D^p

Assume $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is the Cayley graph of G for the set S. The gradient ∇ is made up of the partial gradients $\nabla_s : \mathbb{K}^G \to \mathbb{K}^G$ defined by

$$\nabla_s f = (\lambda_s - \mathrm{Id}) f = (\delta_s - \delta_e) * f.$$

Thus, if $f \in \mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$ then $\lambda_\gamma f$ represents the same class in $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$. Indeed, $\lambda_s f - f = \nabla_s f \in \ell^p(G)$ so, writing γ as a word in S, $\lambda_\gamma f$ is also in the same class. Furthermore, a convex combination of such functions will always represent the same class. Actually, if $\xi \in \mathbb{K}(\Gamma)$ is of a function finite support with $\sum_{\gamma \in G} \xi(\gamma) = 1$ then $[\xi * f] = [f]$.

Next recall that if a sequence $f_n \in \mathsf{D}^p$ converges point-wise (that is its value at e and the values of the gradient on the edges) to 0 and is bounded, then it weak*-converges to 0. This is relatively general fact. Indeed, assume $f_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is a bounded sequence which converges point-wise. Let h be in the pre-dual, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite set $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that, if $h|_F$ is the restriction of h to F, then $\|h - h|_F\| < \varepsilon$. In particular, $|\langle f_n, h\rangle| < |\langle f_n, h|_F\rangle| + \|f_n\|\varepsilon \leq |\langle f_n, h|_F\rangle| + K\varepsilon$.

Next, if $p \in]1, \infty[$, weak*-convergence of f_n implies weak-convergence of f_n, which in turns implies norm-convergence of some sequence h_k where each h_k is a convex combination of the f_n for $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ (a consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem, see [28, Theorem 3.13]). Putting these two facts together one gets

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $f \in \mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$. If there exists a sequence ξ_n of finitely supported functions such that:*

1. *$\sum_{\gamma \in G} \xi_n = 1$;*

2. *$\forall s \in S, (\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * f$ converge point-wise to 0;*

3. *and $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall s \in S, \|(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * f\|_{\ell^p(G)} < K$,*

then $[f] = 0 \in \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Indeed if **1** holds, then all the $\xi_n * f$ represent the same cohomology class. One may also add a constant function at every step, so that in addition to **2** these converges point-wise (at e). **3** implies that up to taking a convex combination, there is a sequence y_n with $[y_n] = [f]$ (being convex combinations of $\xi_n * f$ and since $[\xi_n * f] = [f]$) and $y_n \to 0$ in the norm of $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$. This implies that the reduced class trivial. ☐

This gives the following theorem, which may also be found in Kappos' preprint [14] (where the conclusion extends to higher degree in G is of type FP_n) or Martin & Valette [19, Theorem 4.2] (the hypothesis there is either infinite centre in G or a non-amenable normal subgroup N with infinite centraliser $Z_G(N)$).

Theorem 2.1.2. *Let G be a finitely generated group with infinitely many finite conjugacy classes. Then $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma) = 0$ for any Cayley graph Γ of G.*

Proof. Take a sequence of $C_n \subset G$ of finite conjugacy class such that the distance to the identity tends to infinity and let $\xi_n = \mathbf{1}_{C_n}/|C_n|$ be the normalised characteristic function. Then, the condition of the above lemma are satisfied for any $f \in \mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$ as

1. ξ_n is positive and has $\|\xi_n\|_{\ell^1(G)} = 1$;

2. Functions in $\ell^p(E)$ decay at infinity. Since $(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * f = \xi_n * (\delta_s - \delta_e) * f$ the point-wise convergence to 0 follows;

3. Again $(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * f = \xi_n * (\delta_s - \delta_e) * f$ and since $\|\xi_n\|_{\ell^1(G)} = 1$ it has norm 1 as a convolution on ℓ^p, thus lemma 2.1.1 may be applied with $K = 1$.

Since the above holds for any $f \in \mathsf{D}^p$, the ℓ^p-cohomology ($1 < p < \infty$) of groups with infinitely many finite conjugacy classes is trivial. ☐

As noted by Kappos in [14], the previous theorem covers niltpotent groups. For more amusing examples of groups with this property, see [5].

By a lemma of Holopainen & Soardi [13, Lemma 4.4] (and the equivalence between non-existence of non-constant p-harmonic maps and vanishing of the reduced ℓ^p-cohomology in degree one due to Puls [27, §3], see also Martin & Valette [19, §3]), it is actually sufficient to show the vanishing of cohomology on bounded functions, *i.e.* for $f \in \mathsf{BD}^p(\Gamma) := \ell^\infty(G) \cap \mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$. This is quite useful, as one is tempted to take ξ_n to be a sequence which tends to an invariant mean.

Lemma 2.1.3. *Let G be an amenable group, $\Gamma = \mathsf{Cay}(G,S)$ and ξ_n is a sequence of measures tending to a left-invariant mean. If there is a $K > 0$ such that*

$$\forall s \in S, \quad \|(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * \cdot\|_{\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma) \to \ell^p(G)} \leq K$$

then $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$=0.

Proof. Indeed, assume $f \in \mathsf{BD}^p(\Gamma)$, then the first two points of lemma 2.1.1 are automatically satisfied. Indeed, **1** is a consequence that ξ_n is a probability measure and **2** follows from

$$|(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * f(\eta)| \leq \|\lambda_s \xi_n - \xi_n\|_{\ell^1(G)} \|f\|_{\ell^\infty(G)},$$

as $\|\lambda_s \xi_n - \xi_n\|_{\ell^1(G)} \to 0$. Finally, the hypothesis is a restatement of **3**. ☐

In general, a computation gives:

$$(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * f(\eta) = \sum_{\gamma \in sX_n} \xi_n(s^{-1}\gamma)\lambda_\gamma f(\eta) - \sum_{\gamma \in X_n} \xi_n(\gamma)\lambda_\gamma f(\eta) = \sum_{\gamma \in X_n} \xi_n(\gamma) f(\gamma^{-1}s^{-1}\eta) - \sum_{\gamma \in X_n} \xi_n(\gamma) f(\gamma^{-1}\eta),$$

where X_n is the support of ξ_n. In order to express the above sum in terms of the gradient, it is necessary to express the difference as integrating the gradient on the paths. In the simpler case where $\xi_n = \mathbf{1}_{F_n}/|F_n|$ and F_n is a left-Følner sequence, the above sum is a the sum of values of f on $F_n^{-1}s^{-1}\eta \setminus F_n^{-1}\eta$ minus the values of f on $F_n^{-1}\eta \setminus F_n^{-1}s^{-1}\eta$, all this divided by $|F_n|$. So, from now on, the aim will be to bound the transport of a uniform mass on $F_n^{-1}s^{-1}\eta \setminus F_n^{-1}\eta$ towards a uniform mass on $F_n^{-1}\eta \setminus F_n^{-1}s^{-1}\eta$ if the cost of transport is given by the gradient of f.

2.2 Transportation cost

This is an incentive to look at how one transports a probability measure to another.

Definition 2.2.1. *Let ξ and ϕ be two finitely supported functions with $\sum_{\gamma \in G} \xi(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} \phi(\gamma)$. Fix a "price" function $f' : E \to \mathbb{K}$ on the edges (not necessarily positive). Let $\wp$ be the set of (finite) paths in the graph. Fix a choice of paths $P : G \times G \to \wp$ such that $P(x,y)$ is a path from x to y. The transport cost $c_{f',P} : G \times G \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is defined by $c_{f',P}(x,y) = \sum_{a \in P(x,y)} f'(a)$. Let $M(\xi,\phi)$ be the collection of (finitely supported) measures on $G \times G$ such that the marginals are ξ and ϕ. Let $m \in M(\xi,\phi)$. The pair (P,m) is a transportation pattern or, for short, a pattern. The transportation cost of the measure ξ to the measure ϕ according to the pattern (P,m) and price f' is*

$$\mathrm{TC}_{f',P,m}(\xi,\phi) = \int_{G \times G} c_{f',P}(x,y) \mathrm{d}m(x,y).$$

The infimal transportation cost of the measure ξ to the measure ϕ is

$$\mathrm{TC}(\xi,\phi) := \inf_{(P,m) \text{ a pattern}} \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbf{1},P,m}(\xi,\phi) = \inf_{(P,m) \text{ a pattern}} \int_{G \times G} c_{\mathbf{1},P}(x,y) \mathrm{d}m(x,y) = \inf_{m \in M(\xi,\phi)} \int_{G \times G} d_\Gamma(x,y) \mathrm{d}m(x,y).$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the function with constant value 1 and $d_\Gamma(x,y)$ is the graph distance. ♢

Assume without loss of generality that we are dealing with probability measures. Notice that if $f' = \nabla f$, then for any pattern (P,m),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}_{\nabla f,P,m}(\xi,\phi) &= \int_{G \times G} c_{\nabla f,P}(x,y) \mathrm{d}m(x,y) \\ &= \int_{G \times G} \big(f(y) - f(x)\big) \mathrm{d}m(x,y) \\ &= \int_{G \times G} f(y) \mathrm{d}m(x,y) - \int_{G \times G} f(x) \mathrm{d}m(x,y) \\ &= \int_G f(y) \mathrm{d}\phi(y) - \int_G f(x) \mathrm{d}\xi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using this language one has that, for any pattern (P,m),

$$(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * f(\eta) = \mathrm{TC}_{\rho_\eta \nabla f,P,m}\big((\lambda_s \xi_n)^\vee, \xi_n^\vee\big)$$

where ρ_η is the right regular representation and $\xi^\vee(\gamma) = \xi(\gamma^{-1})$ (so $(\lambda_s \xi)^\vee = \rho_s \xi^\vee$).

Proof of theorem 1.2. The goal is to obtain the bound in lemma 2.1.3. By hypothesis, for every n, there is a pattern (P_n, m_n) such that $\mathrm{TC}_{\mathbf{1},P_n,m_n}(\rho_s \xi_n^\vee, \xi_n^\vee) \leq 2K$. For each n, this pattern will be used to get a bound on the map T which sends a function $f' \in \ell^p(E)$ to $(Tf')(\eta) = \mathrm{TC}_{\rho_\eta f',P,m}\big((\lambda_s \xi_n)^\vee, \xi_n^\vee\big)$ (which will be in $\ell^p(G)$). The bound will be obtained for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$, and deduced by Riesz-Thorin interpolation for intermediate p. In an attempt to alleviate notations the index n will be omitted.

To get a ℓ^∞ bound, it suffices, to look at $\mathrm{TC}_{\mathbf{1},P,m}(\rho_s \xi^\vee, \xi^\vee)$: right multiplication is an automorphism and replacing f' by $\|f'\|_{\ell^\infty(E)}$ on each edges may only increase these sums. The ℓ^1-bound is in appearance more intricate as one should not dispose so carelessly of the values of f'. A good reason for this, is that if the weight function on the edges is $f'(a) =\in \ell^1(E)$ then the graph can be seen as a bounded (but not compact) metric space.

For this reason, a slightly different perspective will be temporarily adopted. Instead of seeing m as a measure on $G \times G$ as above, think of m to be a measure on $\wp(\Gamma)$ the set of (oriented) paths in Γ. (One could even imagine that the transport between two given points no longer necessarily goes along one chosen way and it is allowed to split a mass in parts and displace these parts along different ways.) Let $M_\wp(\xi, \phi)$ be the set of such measure, with the marginals being considered at the beginning and the end of the paths. Denote by $E(p)$ the edges of the path p. Then for any measure $m \in M_\wp(\xi, \phi)$, it is possible to associate

$$\mathrm{TC}_{f',m}(\xi, \phi) = \int_{\wp(\Gamma)} \Big(\sum_{a \in E(p)} f'(a) \Big) \mathrm{d}m(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall a \in E, m^\flat_{f';\xi,\phi}(a) = \sum_{p \ni a} m(p).$$

The (weighted) number of times an edge is used is encoded in the measure $m^\flat$. The question can then be reduced to determining whether it is possible to solve (with $\xi = \rho_s \xi_n^\vee$ and $\phi = \xi_n^\vee$) the transport problem (and all its translates) so that $\forall a \in E, \sum_{\eta \in G} m^\flat_{\rho_\eta f';\xi,\phi}(a) < K \|f'\|_{\ell^1(E)}$ for any $f' \in \ell^1(E)$. This seems quite daunting. However, this bound is automatic if one has that $m^\flat_{\mathbf{1};\xi,\phi}(E) \leq K$. Indeed, if one knows that the total quantity of mass is transported over all edges is bounded in one transport, then in all translations, any given edge will be used at most $|S|$ times this amount (the factor $|S|$ is necessary as the action of G on non-oriented edges is not always faithful). In other words,

$$\sum_{\eta \in G} m^\flat_{\rho_\eta f';\xi,\phi}(a) \leq |S| \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbf{1}}(\xi, \phi) \|f'\|_{\ell^1(E)}.$$

Thus one gets a uniform bound on $\|(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * \cdot\|_{\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma) \to \ell^p(G)}$ and, using lemma 2.1.3, shows that the only class in reduced cohomology is the trivial one. ☐

It is necessary to consider the whole of $\ell^p(E)$ and not just the gradient of functions in $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$ to use interpolation. Because of this, it may very well be that the above proof is not optimal.

Note that using $\xi_n = \mathbf{1}_{F_n}/|F_n|$ in theorem 1.2 (here F_n are Følner sets), one sees immediately vanishing of cohomology for Abelian groups: there is a bijection between $F_n^{-1}s = sF_n^{-1}$ and F_n^{-1} which sends every vertex to a neighbour using only one edge, so the above may be applied with $K = 1$.

The hypothesis of theorem 1.2 are always satisfied when G has a controlled Følner sequence, *i.e.* a sequence of finite sets such that $\forall s \in S$,

$$\frac{|F_n \Delta s F_n|}{|F_n|} \leq \frac{K}{\mathrm{diam} F_n}.$$

Since F_n may be translated to be contained in the ball of radius $\mathrm{diam}F_n$. This gives back a special case (when the L^p-representation is the left-regular representation) of a result of Tessera [31, Theorem 2.2]:

Theorem 2.2.2. *If G is a finitely generated group with a controlled Følner sequence, then its degree one reduced ℓ^p-cohomology is trivial.*

Proof. If $\xi_n = \mathbf{1}_{F_n}/|F_n|$ one sees, up to translating F_n into a ball of radius $\mathrm{diam}F_n$ around e_G, that the transport problem may be solved by picking any bijection from $F_n^{-1}s \setminus F_n^{-1}$ to $F_n^{-1} \setminus F_n^{-1}s$ and looking at the corresponding transport cost (at most $(2\mathrm{diam}F_n + 2)|sF_n \Delta F_n|/|F_n|$). ☐

However, there are example of groups which are not covered by Theorem 2.2.2 but where Theorem 1.2 applies:

Example 2.2.3: Let Γ be the Cayley graph of $H' \wr H$ where H' is finite and H is of polynomial growth. Take the following generators: $S = \{e_H \to S_{H'}\} \times \{e_H\} \cup \{0\} \times S_H$ where $S_{H'}$ and S_H are some set of generators for H' and H (respectively), $\{e_H \to S_{H'}\}$ is the set of functions supported on e_H with value in $S_{H'}$ and $\{0\}$ is the trivial function (*i.e.* sending all H to $e_{H'}$).

A Følner sequence is given as follows: let A_n be the ball of radius n in H and let B_k be the functions $H \to H'$ which are supported on A_k (*i.e.* all the non-trivial lamp states supported by A_k). Then $F_n := B_n \times A_n$ is a (right- and left-) Følner sequence. The transport problem is particularly easy to solve for $\xi_n = \mathbf{1}_{F_n^{-1}}/|F_n^{-1}|$, the normalised characteristic function of the Følner sets (it is possible to use an inversion here as F_n is a right- and left- Følner sequence, and this is done here in order to make the upcoming computations easier).

Indeed, $r \in \{e_H \to S_{H'}\} \times \{e_H\}$ does not displace the Følner set at all. If $z \in \{0\} \times S_H$, then one must displace elements from $F_n z$ to F_n with a cost which is bounded by a constant multiple of $|F_n|$. If one sees element of the lamplighter as a lamp state plus a lamplighter position, action on the left by z is displacement of the lamplighter. However, action on the right by z has the effect of moving the lamps together with the lamplighter. Thus, for elements where the lamp states are all off on $\Delta_z A_n := A_n z \Delta A_n$ it is possible to displace of all these element back to elements in F_n by solving the transport problem on H (which is true since H has polynomial growth). So far the cost is bounded by $|F_n| K_H$.

The aim is now to send elements with some non-trivial lamp states on $\Delta_z A_n := A_n z \setminus A_n$ to similar elements with non-trivial lamp states on $\Delta'_z A_n := A_n \setminus A_n z$. Let $\phi : A_n z \setminus A_n \to A_n \setminus A_n z$ be a bijection. Proceed as follows:

- If there are i non-trivial lamp states, move the lamplighter towards the i lamps and change their states to the trivial one, turn on the i corresponding (by ϕ) lamps before coming back to a neighbouring position; this takes at most $2i(2n+k)+2n$ steps as A_n is contained in a ball of radius n and the diameter of the "lamp states group" F is k;
- For i fixed, the number of such elements is $c^{|A_n|-|\Delta_z A_n|}(c-1)^i \binom{|\Delta_z A_n|}{i}$ where $c = |F|$;
- So the total of used edges is at most $k^{|A_n|-|\Delta_z A_n|} 6(2n+k) \sum_{i=1}^{|\Delta_z A_n|} (k-1)^i i \binom{|\Delta_z A_n|}{i} \leq k^{|A_n|-1} 6(2n+k)|\Delta_z A_n|$;
- As $|F_n| = k^{|A_n|}|A_n|$, one gets a bound of $\dfrac{6|\Delta_z A_n|(2n+k)}{|A_n| c}$.

Since A_n is a controlled (right-) Følner sequence (and $k/c \leq 1$), this is uniformly bounded by some constant K. Hence theorem 1.2 applies.

The sequence F_n is not itself a controlled Følner sequence (unless H is of linear growth, *i.e.* virtually-$\mathbb{Z}$): $\mathrm{diam} F_n \geq |A_n|$, $|F_n| = |A_n| k^{|A_n|}$ and $|z F_n \Delta F_n| \geq |\Delta_z A_n| k^{|A_n|}$. ♢

This strategy also holds for $H' \wr H$ where H' is finite and H is any group having a controlled left-Følner sequence which is a right-Følner sequence and such that the infimal transport cost is bounded. An attentive reader will notice that k and c have been carefully brought along in the above example, the reason being:

Example 2.2.4: Let H' and H be infinite amenable groups with respective Følner sequences A'_n and A_n and respective generating sets $S_{H'}$ and S_H. Assume that there is a sequence $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- theorem 1.2 applies to H' (resp. H) with ξ_n the normalised characteristic function of A'_n (resp. A_n);
- $\forall z \in \{0\} \times S_H, \lim\limits_{n \to \infty} \dfrac{(\mathrm{diam} A_n + \mathrm{diam} A'_{k_n})|\Delta_z A_n|}{|A'_{k_n}||A_n|} = 0.$

Then theorem 1.2 applies to $H' \wr H$ (for the generating set $S = \{e_H \to S_{H'}\} \times \{e_H\} \cup \{0\} \times S_H$).

To see this repeat the preceding example with F_n being functions with support in A_n and taking values in A'_n. (The n becomes $\mathrm{diam} A_n$, the k becomes $\mathrm{diam} A'_k$ and c becomes $|A'_k|$). The first condition comes up when solving the transport problem for the generators $(\{e_H \to h'\}, e_H)$ and it was already necessary in the previous example that the theorem applies to the base space. The second is used when solving the transport problem for the generators $(e_{H'}, h)$; indeed, the ratio $(n+k)/c$ in the previous example becomes $(\mathrm{diam} A_n + \mathrm{diam} A'_{k_n})/|A'_{k_n}|$. ♢

This means one may apply theorem 1.2 to $(H_1 \wr H_2) \wr (H_3 \wr H_4)$ where all H_i are of polynomial growth (or any such finite sequence of wreath products).

2.3 Higher degrees: p-harmonic forms and prism formula

To see that arguments of the previous sections works in higher degree (given the group is of type FP_n) one must check that the reduction to bounded functions (or p-harmonic forms) still hold and that some left-action is well-defined and preserves cohomology classes.

First, we make a brief sketch of p-harmonic forms and their relation to ℓ^p-cohomology in higher degrees, *i.e.* if $f \neq 0 \in \underline{\ell^p H}^k(\Gamma)$ is non-trivial and $1 < p < \infty$, then it corresponds to a p-harmonic "form". The arguments are almost identical to the case $k = 1$ from Puls [27, §3] (see also Martin & Valette [19, §3]).

Definition 2.3.1. *The function $f \in \mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-1]}}$ will be called a p-harmonic $(k-1)$-form if $f \in \mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$ and*

$$\nabla_k^* \mu_{p,p'} \nabla_k f = 0.$$

Lemma 2.3.2. *The Gâteaux differential of $f \mapsto \|f\|^p_{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)}$ is $g \mapsto p\langle \mu_{p,p'} \nabla_k f, \nabla_k g\rangle$. Furthermore, for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$, $f_1 - f_2 \in \nabla_{k-1}\mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}$ if and only if $\langle \mu_{p,p'} \nabla_k f_1, \nabla_k (f_1 - f_2)\rangle = \langle \mu_{p,p'} \nabla_k f_2, \nabla_k (f_1 - f_2)\rangle$*

The proof relies on simple computations and standard convexity properties of $\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 2.3.3. *Let $f \in \mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$, then the following are equivalent:*

1. *f is a p-harmonic $(k-1)$-form;*
2. *$\forall x \in \Gamma^{[k-1]}$, $\langle \mu_{p,p'} \nabla_k f, \nabla_k \mathbf{1}_{\{x\}}\rangle = 0$;*
3. *$\forall g \in \overline{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]})}^{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}}$, $\langle \mu_{p,p'} \nabla_k f, \nabla_k g\rangle = 0$.*

The proof uses the adjoint, and the density of $\mathbf{1}_{\{x\}}$ inside the closure of $\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]})$ (for the norm $\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}$, by continuity).

Theorem 2.3.4. *Every $f \in \mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$ can be decomposed as $f = g + h$ where h is p-harmonic and $g \in \overline{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]})}^{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}}$, uniquely up to an element of $\nabla_{k-1}\mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}$.*

As a corollary, one has an identification of $\underline{\ell^p H}^k(\Gamma)$ as the quotient of p-harmonic forms by $\nabla_{k-1}\mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}$. In particular, if the reduced cohomology is non-trivial, then there is a p-harmonic form which does not lie in the closure of $\nabla_{k-1}\mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}$.

Indeed, there exists at $g \in \overline{\nabla_k \ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]})}^{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k]})}$ such that $\|f+g\|^p_{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k]})}$ is minimal among elements of this class. This means that $\forall w \in \overline{\nabla_k \ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]})}^{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k]})}$, the Gâteaux differential $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|f+g+tw\|^p = 0$. Standard arguments of ℓ^p-norms implies that $\langle \mu_{p,p'}(f+g), w\rangle = 0$ where μ is the Mazur map. Writing $w = \nabla_k w'$ and $f + g = \nabla_k h$ one gets

$$\forall w' \in \overline{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]}) + \nabla_{k-1}\mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}}^{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)}, \quad \langle \mu_{p,p'} \circ \nabla_k h, \nabla_k w'\rangle$$

Theorem 1.2 uses one extra ingredient, namely, the Holopainen & Soardi lemma [13, Lemma 4.4] which allows to restrict the attention to functions in $\mathsf{BD}^p(\Gamma)$. Define similarly, $\mathsf{BD}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma) = \ell^\infty(\Gamma^{[k-1]}) \cap \mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$ and let $\mathrm{d}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma) := \overline{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]}) + \nabla_{k-1}\mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}}^{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)}$. The proof of [13, Lemma 4.4] by Holopainen & Soardi (itself adapted from previous arguments) can mimicked without much worries.

Lemma 2.3.5. *If Γ is such that ∇_k maps $\mathcal{C}^{k-1}$ in $\mathcal{C}^k$, then $\underline{\ell^p H}^k(\Gamma) = 0$ if and only if*

$$\underline{\mathsf{B}\ell^p H}^k(\Gamma) := \mathsf{BD}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma) / \overline{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k-1]}) + \nabla_{k-1}\mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}}^{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)} = 0$$

Proof. One implication is trivial as $\mathsf{BD}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma) \subset \mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$. So, let Γ be a graph such that $\underline{\mathsf{B}\ell^p H}^k(\Gamma) = 0$ and assume $f \in \mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_j = \max(-j, \min(f, j))$. Let F_n be an increasing sequence of finite connected sets whose union is Γ. Let $g_{j,n}$ be functions which are p-harmonic in F_n and $g_{j,n} = f_j$ in $\Gamma \setminus F_n$. Then $g_{j,n} - f_j \in \mathrm{d}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$, $\|g_{j,n}\|_{\ell^\infty(\Gamma^{[k-1]})} \leq j$ and $\|g_{j,n}\|_{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)}$.

This implies that, for fixed j, there is a subsequence of $g_{j,n}$ converging point-wise and in $\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$ to some p-harmonic g_j. Since $\mathrm{d}^{p,[k]}$ is closed, $g_j - f_j \in \mathrm{d}^{p,[k]}$. Using $[g_j] = 0 \in \underline{\mathsf{B}\ell^p H}^k(\Gamma)$, one has $f_j \in \mathrm{d}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)$. However,

$$\|f\|^p_{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}(\Gamma)} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \langle \mu_{p,p'} \nabla_k f, \nabla_k f_j\rangle = 0$$

so that $f \in \overline{\nabla_{k-1}\mathbb{K}^{\Gamma^{[k-2]}}}^{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k]}}$. ☐

The second ingredient still requires adaptation. Indeed, it was crucial to play around with an action which is trivial on cohomology classes, but non-trivial on the functions. Given $f \in \mathsf{D}^{p,[2]}(\Gamma)$, then a “natural” action would be to act diagonally on the pair $(\gamma,\gamma') = a \in E$, *i.e.* $\lambda_\eta a = (\eta^{-1}\gamma, \eta^{-1}\gamma')$. However, there is no guarantee that the resulting pair $(\eta^{-1}\gamma, \eta^{-1}\gamma')$ is an edge. More generally, diagonal action on the left on a simplex will not yield a simplex again. The action on the right does preserve the structure (as it is an isomorphism), but it does not preserve the cohomology class.

The idea is to choose a basis for $\Gamma^{[k]}$ in terms of the k-simplices of $K(G,1) = \Gamma/G$. That is each $x \in \Gamma^{[k]}$ can be described as $(x',\gamma) \in K(G,1) \times G$. For example, one identifies edges with $(S,G) \mapsto (s^{-1}\gamma,\gamma)$ and let G act on (S,G) by action on the second factor by left-translation, *i.e.* $\lambda_\eta f(s,\gamma) = f(s,\eta^{-1}\gamma)$.

Next there is a standard relation in homology sometimes called the prism formula (as it involves the prism operator). Given two simplices $a,b \in \Gamma^{[k]}$ and a prism $P(a,b)$ on these simplices, then $a - b = P(\partial a, \partial b) + \partial P(a,b)$ (the usual boundary operator ∂ is the adjoint of the operators ∇). So for $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Gamma)$, $f(b) - f(a) = f\big(P(\partial a,\partial b)\big) + f\big(\partial P(a,b)\big)$. When $f \in \ker \nabla_{k+2}$, this gives $f(b) - f(a) = f\big(P(\partial a,\partial b)\big)$. Since $P(\partial a,\partial b) \in \Gamma^{[k]}$, one gets that $[\lambda_\gamma f] = [f] \in \underline{\ell^p H}^{k+1}(\Gamma)$ as their difference belongs to $\overline{\ell^p(\Gamma^{[k]})}^{\mathsf{D}^{p,[k+1]}}$.

This said, theorem 2.1.2 extends to degree $k \le n$ if G is of type FP_n. Using lemma 2.3.5, theorem 1.2 also extends to degree $k \le n$ if G is of type FP_n.

2.4 Further remarks

Actually, examples 2.2.3 and 2.2.4 fit in a particular scheme. For a finite set F, let's define $\overline{\mathrm{diam}}_s F$ to be the minimum for all bijections $\tau : Fs \setminus F \to F \setminus Fs$ of the average $|Fs \setminus F|^{-1} \sum_{x \in Fs\setminus F} d(x,\tau(x))$. Obviously $\overline{\mathrm{diam}}_s F \le \mathrm{diam} F + 2$.

Lemma 2.4.1. *Assume* $\xi = \mathbf{1}_F/|F|$ *for some finite set* F*. Then*

$$\|(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi * f(\eta)\|_{\ell^p(G)} \le \frac{|sF\Delta F|}{|F|}\overline{\mathrm{diam}}_{s^{-1}}(F^{-1})\|\nabla f\|_{\ell^p(E)}$$

Proof. As in lemma 2.1.3 a bound on the operators $(\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * \cdot$ from $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$ to $\ell^p(G)$ is sought. It suffices to have it for $p = \infty$ and $p = 1$ and then one may conclude using the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem. Reducing the question to transport as in the proof of theorem 1.2, one has that the bijection τ realising $\overline{\mathrm{diam}}_s F^{-1}$ has $K = \dfrac{|sF\Delta F|}{|F|}\overline{\mathrm{diam}}_{s^{-1}}(F^{-1})$. □

Using the fact that controlled Følner sequence are such that $\overline{\mathrm{diam}}_{s^{-1}} F_n^{-1} \le 2\mathrm{diam} F_n + 2$, applying theorem 1.2 via lemma 2.4.1 may be seen as a relaxation of Tessera's condition.

Since free groups never have vanishing of cohomology, there is no hope to extend this vanishing even to weakly amenable groups. Nevertheless, the following lemma might turn to be useful (if not to show vanishing, but to compute cohomology classes).

Lemma 2.4.2. *Assume* G *is a finitely generated group and* S *a finite generating set. Let* $f, g \in \mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$*. If there exists a sequence* ξ_n *of finitely supported functions such that:*

1. $\sum_{\gamma \in G} \xi_n = 1$,
2. $\forall s \in S, (\delta_s - \delta_e) * \xi_n * f$ *converge point-wise to* $(\delta_s - \delta_e) * g$,
3. *and* $\exists K \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathrm{TC}(\rho_s \xi_n^\vee, \xi_n^\vee) \le K$.

then $[f] = [g] \in \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$.

3 Boundary values and inclusion

The aim of this section is to prove inclusion in non-amenable groups, *i.e.* theorem 1.3. The existence of these boundary values will then be used to recover a vanishing result for groups with a non-amenable finitely generated normal subgroup of infinite index.

In this section, an antisymmetric subset of edges E' (*i.e.* $(x,y) \in E' \Rightarrow (y,x) \notin E'$) is going to be considered and the corresponding random walk studied. Ultimately, these edges will realise the fact that a graph may be decomposed into the spheres around (points at a given distance from) a given vertex; the terminology is in accordance with this idea.

It is very likely that the results of this section may be obtained (and probably improved) by looking at a (lazy) random walker. The author is however no expert on this topic and had already considered some types of antisymmetric walks for other reasons, whence the choice of technique. The author would have liked to use the adjective ballistic or dispersive instead of scared or "spooky", but they have already been used with a different meaning. The present name stems from the fact that this may be seen as the random walk of someone scared after seeing the root (of all evil) and wishing to distance himself regardless of the direction.

3.1 Scared random walker

For a non-symmetric set of edges, one must distinguish the weakly connected components from the (strongly) connected components. The latter takes into account that some edges are "one-way", and as such does not (in general) yield an equivalence relation whereas the former ignores these forbidden directions.

Definition 3.1.1. *An acyclic sub-orientation of a graph $\Gamma = (X,E)$ is a set $E' \subset E$ such that E' is antisymmetric and does not contain (oriented!) cycles. It is an acyclic orientation if $(x,y) \in E \Rightarrow$ either $(x,y) \in E'$ or $(y,x) \in E'$.*

Given $E' \subset E$, let $N^+(\gamma) = \{\eta \in X \mid (\gamma,\eta) \in E'\}$ and $N^-(\gamma) = \{\eta \in X \mid (\eta,\gamma) \in E'\}$. Let $\delta B_0 = \{\gamma \in X \mid N^-(\gamma) = \varnothing\}$. Define the spheres δB_n as the set of vertices which may be reached from B_0 by an (oriented) path of length n. The balls are the set $\cup_{k \leq n} \delta B_k$.

A weakly connected component K of E' is a connected component for the sub-graph defined by E' and all edges with opposite orientation.

The length of an element γ is (or are, if, for some weakly connected component K, $|\delta B_0 \cap K| \neq 1$) the integer[s] n such that $\gamma \in \delta B_n$; it will be written $|\gamma|$. The union of all elements having one length $\leq n$ will be called the ball (of radius n) and this set will be denoted by B_n. The set of edges from δB_n to δB_{n+1} will be denoted ∂B_n.

Here are some typical examples:

Example 3.1.2: Pick a specific vertex (the root) e. Let $B_i = B_i(e)$ be the ball of radius i around e and let $\delta B_i = B_{i+1} \setminus B_i$. Pick only edges between the spheres (in the proper direction), but not the edges which are internal to a sphere. This will be called the *root-orientation*. It is, in general, only a sub-orientation.

A more interesting example is to pick a connected spanning sub-graph Γ' (same vertices but fewer edges) and to apply the root-orientation in Γ' to Γ, henceforth referred to as the Γ'-root-orientation. When the spanning graph is no longer connected, it is still possible to define an acyclic sub-orientation by picking a vertex in each connected component.

Finally, let $\mathbb{K}^+$ be an open convex in $\mathbb{K}$ giving rise to a strict order on $\mathbb{K}$ coherent with addition. Given a function $g \in \mathbb{K}^X$, then there is a natural acyclic sub-orientation associated: take E' to be the set of edges such that $g(a) \in \mathbb{K}^+$. If furthermore $(\overline{\mathbb{K}^+})^\mathsf{c} = -\mathbb{K}^+$ and $\forall a \in E, \nabla g(a) \notin \overline{\mathbb{K}^+} \setminus \mathbb{K}$, then this is actually an orientation and not just a sub-orientation. When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and g has non-vanishing gradient, there are two choices: the positive g-orientation given by all edges such that $g(a) > 0$, and its opposite orientation (the negative one). ◊

A *dead-end* is a vertex where $N^+(\gamma) = \varnothing$. The *cone* of a vertex γ, C_γ is the set of all vertices which may be reached from γ (by moving according to orientation). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the notation C_γ^n will be used for the points of C_γ reachable in n steps from γ.

The root-orientation of a Cayley graph has been already studied, and it is rarely without dead-ends when the group is finitely generated and amenable (see the introduction of an article of Warshall [34] for references on this topic). In a generic non-amenable group, it is known that the density of these finite cones decreases exponentially as the length of the cone gets larger, see a note by Warshall [33].

Definition 3.1.3. *The shift* $\sigma : \mathbb{K}^X \to \mathbb{K}^X$ *is defined by* $(\sigma g)(\gamma) = |N^+(\gamma)|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma' \in N^+(\gamma)} g(\gamma')$ *if* $N^+(\gamma) \neq \varnothing$ *and* $(\sigma g)(\gamma) = g(\gamma)$ *if* $N^+(\gamma) = \varnothing$.

Let σ^* *be the adjoint of* σ*. Let* $\nu_\gamma = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sigma^{*n} \delta_\gamma$*, where* δ_γ *is the Dirac mass at* $\gamma \in X$*, and let* $\nu_n = \sigma^{*n} |\cdot|$*, where* $|\cdot|$ *is the counting measure on* X*.*

If for any weakly connected component K *of* E'*,* $\delta B_0 \cap K$ *is finite, define the "spooky" measure on* Γ *to be the measure* $\nu := \sum\limits_{K \in \mathcal{K}(E')} |\delta B_0 \cap K|^{-1} \sum\limits_{\gamma \in \delta B_0 \cap K} \nu_\gamma$ *(where* $\mathcal{K}(E')$ *is the set of all weakly connected components).*

From now on, it will be assumed that

$$\exists R \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ such that } \max_{K \in \mathcal{K}(E')} |\delta B_0 \cap K| \leq R \quad \text{and} \quad \cup_{\gamma \in B_0} C_\gamma = X. \tag{3.1.4}$$

If the orientation comes from a function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with non-vanishing gradient, then the above hypothesis read: f is bounded from below and has only finitely many local minima.

In a root-orientation without dead-ends, the "spooky" measure may be defined recursively as follows: $\nu(e) = 1$ and for $\gamma \in B_{i+1} \setminus B_i$, $\nu(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma' \in N^-(\gamma)} |N^+(\gamma')|^{-1} \nu(\gamma')$. This measure is a probability measure when restricted to δB_i. To be more precise, $\nu(\gamma)$ is the probability that a random walker (starting at e and which is at every step uniform on edges *increasing the distance to* e) reaches γ (necessarily, in $|\gamma|$ steps). When the graph has dead-ends, some part of the measure will remain "stuck" in the dead-ends (and ν will give infinite weight to these vertices). But outside from the dead-ends, the measure will have mass ≤ 1 on spheres.

Assuming again that the we are looking at the root-orientation and it is without dead-ends, this measure can also be interpreted as a geodesic density, *i.e.* $\nu(\gamma)$ is the proportion of all geodesic between e and $\delta B_{|\gamma|}$ which reach γ.

Similarly, ν_γ is a probability measure on δB_i if $i \geq |\gamma|$ and, when restricted to δB_{i+n}, ν_n is the sum $\sum_{\gamma \in \delta B_i} \nu_\gamma$. The measures ν_γ are supported on $B^{\mathsf{c}}_{|\gamma|-1}$ and ν_n is supported on B^{c}_{n-1}. The counting measure is denoted by ν_0 .

All the above measures will be extended to the edges (of E') by the recipe $\nu.(a) = |N^+(s)|^{-1} \nu.(s)$ where $a = (s, s')$.

Let $\ell^q \mathsf{L}^p(\mu|K)$ to be the space of functions $g : X \to \mathbb{K}$ such that, on K (a weakly connected component of E'), $\big(i \mapsto \|g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\delta B_i \cap K, \mu)}\big)$ belongs to $\ell^q(\mathbb{N})$. If this holds for any weakly connected component, we will write $g \in \ell^\infty \mathsf{L}^p(\mu|E')$. Another recurrent condition will be

$$\nu \in \ell^r \mathsf{L}^\infty(\nu_0|E'), \; i.e. \; \forall K \in \mathcal{K}(E'), \sum_{i \geq 0} \|\nu\|^r_{\ell^\infty(\delta B_i \cap K)} < +\infty. \tag{3.1.5}$$

This condition excludes the presence of dead-ends (as ν gives infinite weight to dead-ends).

Note that subsection 3.3 considers only T-root-orientation for some spanning tree of the graph. Subsection 3.4 looks at the acyclic sub-orientation coming from a spanning forest (by picking a root in each tree).

3.2 Boundary value

Let $N^+_{min} = \min\limits_{\gamma \in X} |N^+(\gamma)|$ and $N^+_{max} = \max\limits_{\gamma \in X} |N^+(\gamma)|$

Lemma 3.2.1. *Assume* (3.1.4) *holds, and let* $\nu.$ *denote any of the measures in definition* 3.1.3*. The shift has the following properties,* $\forall p \in [1, \infty]$*:*

1. $\forall k \geq 0, \|\sigma g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\delta B_i, \nu_k)} \leq \|g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\delta B_{i+1}, \nu_{k+1})}$ *and* $\forall \gamma \in X, \|\sigma g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\delta B_i, \nu_\gamma)} \leq \|g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\delta B_{i+1}, \nu_\gamma)}$*;*
2. $\|g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\delta B_{i+1}, \nu)} \leq \|g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\delta B_i, \nu)} + \|\nabla g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\partial B_i, \nu)}$*;*
3. $\|g - \sigma g\|_{\ell^p} \leq \big(N^+_{min}\big)^{-1/p} \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p}$*;*

Proof. **1** for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$ follows readily from the definition of ν and σ. An easy way to obtain this for intermediate p is to use Riesz-Thorin interpolation. For **2**, note that the value of g on δB_{i+1} can be reconstructed with the values on δB_i and ∇g restricted to ∂B_i as $g(\gamma') = g(\gamma) + \nabla g(\gamma, \gamma')$. The triangle inequality yields the conclusion.

As for **3**, $g - \sigma g$ can be seen as a sum of functions which take various values of ∇g. Indeed, $(g - \sigma g)(\gamma)$ is an average of the values of ∇g on the edges between γ and $N^+(\gamma)$. Using Hölder's inequality,

$$\|g - \sigma g\|_{\ell^p}^p = \int_X \left| \int_{N^+(\gamma)} \nabla g(\gamma, \gamma') \mathrm{d}\sigma^* \delta_\gamma(\gamma') \right|^p \mathrm{d}\nu_0(\gamma) \leq \int_X N^+(\gamma)^{(1/p'-1)p} \|\nabla g(\gamma, \cdot)\|_{\ell^p(N^+(\gamma)}^p \mathrm{d}\nu_0(\gamma) \leq \frac{1}{N_{min}^+} \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p}^p.$$

One may also easily check that $\|g - \sigma g\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^\infty}$. ☐

From 3.2.1.1 one sees that if $g \in \ell^p(X)$ then $\sigma^{\circ n} g \to 0 \in \ell^p(X)$. One may also apply lemma 3.2.1.2 to get

$$\|g\|_{\ell^\infty \mathsf{L}^p(\nu_0|K)} \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \delta B_0 \cap K} |g(\gamma)| + \sum_{i \geq 0} \|\nabla g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\partial B_i \cap K, \nu)},$$

where $K \in \mathcal{K}(E')$ (the set of weakly connected components of E'). Lastly, it follows from 3.2.1.3 that, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma^{\circ n} g$ belongs to the same cohomology class as g.

Lemma 3.2.2. *Assume* (3.1.4) *holds and* $p \in [1, \infty[$ *is such that* (3.1.5) *holds with* $r = p'$. *If* $\nabla g \in \ell^p(E)$, *then* $g \in \ell^\infty \mathsf{L}^p(\nu|E')$. *Furthermore, the sequence* $\{\sigma^{\circ n} g\}_{n \geq 0}$ *converges point-wise.*

Proof. Indeed, using lemma 3.2.1.2, one has, for each weakly connected component K

$$\|g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\delta B_i \cap K, \nu)} \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \delta B_0 \cap K} |g(\gamma)| + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} \|\nabla g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\partial B_j \cap K, \nu)}.$$

So it suffices to show that $\sum_{i \geq 0} \|\nabla g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\partial B_i \cap K, \nu)} =: \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^1 \mathsf{L}^p(\nu|K)}$ is finite. But, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\|\nabla g\|_{\ell^1 \mathsf{L}^p(\nu|K)}^p \leq \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(\partial B_i \cap K)} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_i \cap K)} \right)^p \leq \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(E)}^p \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_i \cap K)}^{p'} \right)^{p/p'}.$$

For the type of convergence of $\sigma^{\circ n} g$, observe that $(\sigma^{\circ m} g - \sigma^{\circ n} g)(\gamma) = \int_{C_\gamma^m \setminus C_\gamma^n} \nabla g(a) \mathrm{d}\nu_\gamma(a)$. Thus, $|(\sigma^{\circ m} g - \sigma^{\circ n} g)(\gamma)|$ is bounded by

$$\int_{C_\gamma^m \setminus C_\gamma^n} |\nabla g(a)| \mathrm{d}\nu_\gamma(a) \quad \leq \sum_{i=n}^m \left(\int_{\partial B_{|\gamma|+i}} |\nabla g(a)|^p \mathrm{d}\nu_\gamma(a) \right)^{1/p} \quad \leq \sum_{i=n}^m \|\nu_\gamma\|_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_{|\gamma|+i})} \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(\partial B_{|\gamma|+i} \cap C_\gamma^i)}.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the fact that $\forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in X, \nu_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2) \nu_{\gamma_2}(\gamma_3) \leq \nu_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_3)$, a final bound of

$$\left(\sum_{i=n}^m \|\nu\|_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_{|\gamma|+i} \cap K)}^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(C_\gamma^m \setminus C_\gamma^n)} \nu(\gamma)^{-1}$$

is found. So $(\sigma^{\circ n} g)(\gamma)$ forms a Cauchy sequence (in n for fixed γ). ☐

Example 3.2.3: In the (usual) Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}^k$ with the root-orientation, the "spooky" measure on δB_i is essentially done by gluing up 2^k multinomial distributions. A simple estimate gives that $\|\nu\|_{\ell^p(\delta B_i)} \asymp K i^{-(k-1)/2p'}$, where $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ depends on k. So $\sum_{i \geq 0} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\infty(\delta B_i)}^{p'} < +\infty$ if $\frac{(k-1)}{2} p' > 1$, in other words, $(3-k)p < 2$. In particular, lemma 3.2.2 does not apply for any p if $k = 1$. On the other hand, if $k > 2$, then this holds for all p. For $k = 2$, this lemma applies for $p \in [1, 2[$.

It is quite easy to find a function in $\mathsf{D}^p(\mathbb{Z})$ $(p > 1)$ on the Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}$ so that the boundary value does not converge point-wise at any vertex. However, functions in $\mathsf{D}^1(\Gamma)$ always possess a boundary value (see appendix A). The author could not determine whether the functions in $\mathsf{D}^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ possess a boundary value in some Cayley graph and acyclic orientation. The fact that $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^2$ stand out is not uncommon (*e.g.* they are recurrent). ◇

Example 3.2.4: In the (usual) Cayley graph of the free group of rank k with the root-orientation, $\mathcal{F}_k$, the "spooky" measure on δB_i is the uniform measure on the spheres. This implies that $\|\nu\|_{\ell^p(\delta B_i)} = 2k(2k-1)^{-(i-1)/p'}$. So, for any $p \in [1,\infty[$, $\nu \in \ell^{p'}\mathsf{L}^\infty(\nu_0)$. In particular, lemma 3.2.2 always apply. ◊

Definition 3.2.5. *Assume* (3.1.4) *holds. Let* $p \in [1,\infty[$ *be such that* (3.1.5) *holds with* $r = p'$. *The limit of the sequence* $\sigma^{\circ n} g$ *from Lemma 3.2.2 will be called the boundary value of* g. *The function* g *will be said to be constant (resp. vanish) on the boundary if this limit is constant (resp. the* 0 *function).* ◊

The above definition of boundary value is closer in spirit to that of Pansu [22] than Bourdon & Pajot [4].

Proposition 3.2.6. *Assume* (3.1.4) *holds. Let* $p \in [1,\infty[$ *be such that* (3.1.5) *holds with* $r = p'$. *If* $[g] = 0 \in \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$ *then the boundary value is constant and the sequence* $\sigma^{\circ n} g$ *converges in* $\ell^\infty\mathsf{L}^p(\nu|E')$.

Proof. Let $g_i \in \ell^p(X)$ be a sequence such that $\|\nabla g_i - \nabla g\|_{\ell^p} \to 0$. First, one needs to show the boundary value of each g_i is a constant as they belong to $\ell^p(X) + \ker \nabla_1$. By lemma 3.2.1.1, $\sigma^{\circ n} g_i \to 0$ in ℓ^p, which implies the boundary value is trivial. Furthermore,

$$\forall h \in \ell^p(X), \sup_{K \in \mathcal{K}(E')} \|h\|_{\ell^\infty\mathsf{L}^p(\nu|K)} \leq \|h\|_{\ell^p(X)}$$

implies $\ell^p(X) \subset \ell^\infty\mathsf{L}^p(\nu|K)$. Next, add a constant function to all g_i so that $g_i(e) = g(e)$. Some continuity of the D^p-norm (after this renormalisation) is required. But if $\|\nabla h - \nabla g\|_{\ell^p(E)} < \varepsilon$ and possess the same value at e, then, by the same arguments as in lemma 3.2.2, for each $K \in \mathcal{K}(E')$,

$$\|h - g\|_{\ell^\infty\mathsf{L}^p(\nu|K)} \leq \|\nabla(h-g)\|_{\ell^p(E)} \|\nu\|_{\ell^{p'}\mathsf{L}^\infty(\nu_0|K)}$$

So the boundary value of these renormalised g_i also converges to that of g and, furthermore, g belongs to $\ell^\infty\mathsf{L}^p(\nu)$. □

3.3 Convergence in graphs with positive isoperimetric constant

Lemma 3.3.1. *Assume that* δB_0 *is finite and that* $\sum_{j\geq 0} \sup_{i \geq 0} \|\nu_j\|^p_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_{i+j})} < +\infty$, *then the sequence* $\sigma^n g$ *converges in* $\ell^p(X)$.

Note the assumption of this lemma seem to hold only when those of lemma 3.2.2 already do.

Proof. The proof relies on an estimate of $\|\sigma^{\circ(n+1)} g - \sigma^{\circ n} g\|_{\ell^p}$. Using lemma 3.2.1.1, one has

$$\|(\sigma^{\circ(n+1)} g - \sigma^{\circ n} g)\|_{\ell^p(\delta B_i)} \leq \|\nabla g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(\partial B_{i+n}, \nu_n)} \leq \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(\partial B_{i+n})} \|\nu_n\|_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_{i+n})}.$$

Now,

$$\|\sigma^{\circ(n+1)} g - \sigma^{\circ n} g\|^p_{\ell^p(X)} \leq \sum_{i \geq 0} \|\nabla g\|^p_{\ell^p(\partial B_{i+n})} \|\nu_n\|^p_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_{i+n})}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|\sigma^{\circ m} g - \sigma^{\circ n} g\|^p_{\ell^p(X)} \leq \sum_{n \leq j < m} \sum_{i \geq 0} \|\nabla g\|^p_{\ell^p(\partial B_{i+j})} \|\nu_j\|^p_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_{i+j})} \leq \|\nabla g\|^p_{\ell^p(E \cap B_n^c)} \sum_{n \leq j < m} \sup_{i \geq 0} \|\nu_j\|^p_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_{i+j})}.$$

By assumption, this implies $\{\sigma^{\circ n} g\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a Cauchy sequence in $\ell^p(X)$. □

Example 3.3.2: Lemma 3.3.1 does not apply to $\mathbb{Z}^k$ nor to any group of sub-exponential growth (as a relatively simple estimate shows). Actually, it may not hold for any amenable group as it would imply that the non-reduced ℓ^p-cohomology is the same as the reduced one (which is always false in amenable groups, see Guichardet [12, Corollaire 1] or Martin & Valette [19, Corollary 2.4]). However, lemma 3.3.1 applies to any tree T without leaves (*i.e.* without vertex with only one neighbour, *i.e.* without dead-ends in a root-orientation) and $\imath(T) > 0$: $\|\nu_j\|_{\ell^\infty(\partial B_{i+j})} \leq c^{-j}$ for some $c > 1$. ◊

By the following lemma, we recover a result in the spirit of results of Bourdon & Pajot [4, Corollaire 0.2] or Pansu [22, Théorème 1].

Lemma 3.3.3. *Under the same assumption as in lemma 3.3.1, if g is constant on the boundary then $[g] = 0 \in \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Since the sequence $\sigma^{\circ n} g$ converges to 0 and belongs to the same cohomology class as g (by Lemma 3.2.1.3), g is trivial in cohomology. ☐

But there is a small advantage to this point of view. Indeed, assume $\imath(\Gamma) > 0$ (*e.g.* it is the Cayley graph of a non-amenable group) then by results of Benjamini & Schramm [3, Theorem 1.5 and Remark 1.7], there is a tree which is bi-Lipschitz embedded in Γ and some fixed thickening covers Γ. This implies there is a d-valent tree which is quasi-isometric to some spanning tree T in Γ. It may happen that T has some leaves, but this hints at a geodesic density which decreases very quickly.

In fact, this can be made rigorous using invariance under quasi-isometry and a small trick.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\Gamma =: \Gamma_1$. Since $\imath(\Gamma_1) = c > 0$, then, for any finite subset F of Γ_1, $|\partial^{\Gamma_1} F| \geq c|F|$ for some $c > 0$. So take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nc \geq 1$. Let Γ_k be graph obtained by adding, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, an edge between γ and all vertices at distance $\leq n$ from γ. Denote $\partial^{\Gamma_k} F$ to be the boundary of F in Γ_k and $B_k(F)$ to be the sets of vertices at distance $\leq k$ from F (F included). Then, for any finite subset F of Γ_n,

$$|\partial^{\Gamma_n} F| \geq |\partial^{\Gamma_1} B_n(F)| + |\partial^{\Gamma_{n-1}} F| \geq c|F| + |\partial^{\Gamma_{n-1}} F| \geq \ldots \geq nc|F| \geq |F|.$$

In other words, there is a graph Γ_n, quasi-isometric to Γ_1, with $\imath(\Gamma_n) \geq 1$. But by another result of Benjamini and Schramm [3, Theorem 1.2], there is then a spanning tree in Γ which is obtained by adding edges between disjoint copies of binary trees. Let T be this tree, and look at the shift σ_T on this tree. The assumptions of proposition 3.2.6 and lemma 3.3.1 are then satisfied for all p. Again, σ_T will preserve the cohomology class and $\sigma_T^{\circ n} g$ converges in $\ell^p(X)$, which implies convergence in D^p (where the D^p may be defined by any set of edges as long as the valency remains bounded) and the fact that the quotient is always separated (*i.e.* $\underline{\ell^q H}^1(\Gamma) = \ell^q H^1(\Gamma)$).

Furthermore, the fact that the boundary value is constant (and so triviality in cohomology) no longer depends on p but only on the boundary value for the T-root-orientation. Thus if g represents a non-trivial class in $\underline{\ell^q H}^1(\Gamma)$ $(= \ell^q H^1(\Gamma))$ then so does it in $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$ $(= \ell^p H^1(\Gamma))$ if $p \in [q, \infty[$.

Boundary values and actually shows the existence of a commuting diagram of "natural" injections (where Γ_n is the above chosen quasi-isometric graph and T is the spanning tree (so quasi-isometric to a k-valent one, $k \geq 3$):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\ell^q H^1(\Gamma_n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \ell^q H^1(T) \arrow[d] \\
\ell^p H^1(\Gamma_n) \arrow[r] & \ell^p H^1(T)
\end{tikzcd}$$

As a consequence, the only boundary values possible (in a graph Γ with $\imath(\Gamma) > 0$) are those of a 3-valent tree. To know if a boundary value of the tree is an actual cohomology class in Γ, it remains to check that it belongs to $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$. ☐

3.4 Non-amenable normal subgroups

The existence of boundary values is a convenient tool. Let $N \lhd G$ be an infinite normal subgroup of G. If N is finitely generated as a group, it is possible to pick a Cayley graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ so that S generates N and G. Denote by $\Gamma_N = \mathrm{Cay}(N, S \cap N)$. Then Γ admits $[G : N]$ copies of Γ_N as a sub-graph. Furthermore, right translation (an automorphism of Γ) permutes these copies. A function on Γ may be restricted to any of these copies and then be identified with a function on Γ_N.

Corollary 3.4.1. *Assume N is non-amenable and finitely generated as a group. Let Γ and Γ_N be as above. Then $[g] \neq 0 \in \ell^p H^1(\Gamma)$ if and only if there exists $\gamma \in G$ such that $[g_{|N\gamma}] \neq 0 \in \ell^p H^1(\Gamma_N)$.*

Proof. Define a spanning tree T_N on each copy of (some graph quasi-isometric to) the graph Γ_N, and then add edges between different copies of the tree so that it becomes a spanning tree T of G. Assume g is non-trivial in cohomology, then, by lemma 3.3.3, the boundary value of g for the T-root-orientation is non-trivial, so it must be non-trivial on a given T_N. Since T_N is at most connected with a finite number of other trees, the difference between the boundary value of g obtained from T then restricted to T_N and the boundary value of $g_{|N}$ obtained from T_N is finitely supported, thus in the same cohomology class.

Conversely, if all $[g_{|N\gamma}] = 0$, by proposition 3.2.6 this means all boundary values of the copies of T_N are constant. But all these boundary values are in the same cohomology class as g since the acyclic sub-orientation given by these T_N still satisfy the hypothesis of lemma 3.3.3. So g is trivial. ☐

Consider again $N \lhd G$. A technique coming from the paper of Martin & Valette will be used. Let Γ be a chosen Cayley graph and $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)^N$ be the functions in $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$ which are invariant under the (left-translation) action of N (*i.e.* constant along the orbits, *i.e.* constant on the cosets $N\gamma$).

If N is infinite, then, by [19, Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 3.1] (see also [19, Remark a) after Theorem 4.2]), it is possible to deduce the vanishing of the degree one ℓ^p-cohomology of G from the absence in the quotient $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)/(\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)^N \oplus \ell^p(G))$ of a function whose class (in the quotient) is invariant under some action of G (coming from its action on $H^1(N, \ell^p(G))$). Using the identification of $Z^1(N, \ell^p(G))$ with $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$ from [19, Lemma 2.2], one sees that this action is nothing else than $(\gamma \cdot f)(\eta\gamma_i) = f(\gamma^{-1}\eta\gamma_i) = \lambda_\gamma f(\eta\gamma_i)$ where $\eta \in N$ and the γ_i are representatives for the cosets of N.

Proof of theorem 1.4. Assume there is a non-trivial element g in $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)/(\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)^N \oplus \ell^p(G))$ whose class is fixed under the action of G. By corollary 3.4.1, one of its restriction to some $N\gamma_0$ (one may assume $\gamma_0 = e_G$) must possess a non-constant boundary value (after this restriction, the quotient is $\ell^p H^1(N)$) for a spanning tree T_N. The class of this element must be invariant under the action of G, and, since right- and left-cosets of N are the same, the class of g restricted to $N\gamma$ belongs to the class of $g^\gamma : n \mapsto g(\gamma^{-1} n \gamma)$.

The Cayley graph $\Gamma_N^\gamma := \mathrm{Cay}(N, \gamma^{-1}(S \cap N)\gamma)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_N = \mathrm{Cay}(N, S \cap N)$. Furthermore, g^γ is just the image of g under the isomorphism, so it represents a non-trivial class (the same, up to this isomorphism) in Γ_N^γ. If T_N^γ is the image of T_N by this isomorphism, the boundary value is the same. Thus, the shift (for T_N) on all copies of N converge to the same boundary value. However, since G/N is infinite, there are infinitely many such copies, so that this may not belong to $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$ unless it is constant, a contradiction. ☐

In the course of the proof, it appears that if N is an infinite finitely generated normal non-amenable subgroup such that $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma_N) = 0$ then $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma) = 0$.

3.5 Inclusion for some amenable graphs

The aim of this subsection is to find another mean to conclude that inclusion holds in other graphs (namely amenable one). It will be required that E' has only finitely many weakly connected components, a finite δB_0, and that the measures ν_γ all satisfy (3.1.5) with a uniform bound.

Proposition 3.5.1. *Assume E' is an acyclic sub-orientation such that (3.1.4) holds and E' has finitely many weakly connected components. Let $p \in [1, \infty[$ be such that (3.1.5) holds with $r = p'$, and assume further that this holds uniformly for at any other point,* i.e. *$\exists c > 0$ such that $\forall \gamma \in X, \|\nu_\gamma\|_{\ell^{p'}\mathsf{L}^\infty(\nu_0} \leq c$. If the boundary value is constant then $[g] = 0 \in \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{g}$ be the boundary value of g (as in definition 3.2.5). First, making the same computation as in lemma 3.2.2, one gets that $|(\sigma^{\circ m} g - g)(\gamma)|$ is bounded by

$$\int_{C_\gamma^m \setminus C_\gamma^0} |\nabla g(a)| \mathrm{d}\nu_\gamma(a) \quad \leq \|\nu_\gamma\|_{\ell^{p'}\mathsf{L}^\infty(\nu_0} \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(C_\gamma^m \setminus C_\gamma^0)}.$$

This means that if $\|\nu_\gamma\|_{\ell^{p'}\mathsf{L}^\infty(\nu_0}$ has a uniform bound, then $|(\tilde{g} - g)(\gamma)| \leq \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(C_\gamma)}$. Let n_ε be such that $\|\nabla g\|_{\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma \setminus B_{n_\varepsilon})} \leq \varepsilon$. Since E' has finitely many weakly connected components (and (3.1.4) holds) B_{n_ε} is a finite set. So if $\gamma \in X \setminus B_{n_\varepsilon}$, then, as the boundary value is trivial, $g(\gamma) \leq c\varepsilon$.

Take h to be the p-harmonic function in the class of g or, equivalently, the element of minimal D^p-norm in this class. Then h has also a trivial boundary. Consequently, $\forall t > 0$, the truncated function h_t defined by

$$h_t(\gamma) = \begin{cases} th(\gamma)/|h(\gamma)| & \text{if } |h(\gamma)| > t \\ h(\gamma) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is distinct from h only on a finite set, and so $[h_t] = [h]$. However, its D^p norm is smaller, which contradicts minimality, unless $[h_t] = [h] = [0]$. $\square$

The previous proposition has the desired corollary.

Corollary 3.5.2. *Let Γ be a graph, $p \in [1, \infty[$ and E' be an acyclic sub-orientation with finitely many weakly connected components (and without dead-ends). Assume $\exists c > 0$ such that $\forall \gamma \in X, \|\nu_\gamma\|_{\ell^{p'}\mathsf{L}^\infty(\nu_0)} \leq c$. Then, $\forall q < p$, the natural quotient $\underline{\ell^q H}^1(\Gamma) \to \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$ is an injection.*

Note that if the cones form (up to graph isomorphism) only finitely many different graphs, then the uniform bound on the measures ν_γ follow from the bound on ν.

Proof. Assume $[g] \neq [0] \in \underline{\ell^q H}^1(\Gamma)$. Then, by proposition 3.5.1, its boundary value is not trivial. However, this boundary value does not depend on p and so, by proposition 3.2.6, g is not trivial in $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$. $\square$

Example 3.2.3 show that the root-orientation in $\mathbb{Z}^k$ (for $k \geq 3$) satisfies these hypothesis. The difficult question is to find other groups which admit such acyclic sub-orientation.

3.6 Further remarks

Remark 3.6.1: In the root-orientation, the shift σ can be vaguely related to the simple random walk (or the Laplacian) as follows. Take σ^* to be the adjoint of σ:

$$(\sigma^* g)(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma' \in N^-(\gamma)} g(\gamma')/N^+(\gamma') + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma \text{ is not a dead-end,} \\ g(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \text{ is a dead-end,} \end{cases}$$

If a graph is bipartite then there are no edges between two elements belonging to the same sphere in the root-orientation (this is a classical equivalent characterisation). In this case, $\sigma + \sigma^*$ at γ will sometimes be (up to a constant which depends on γ) the simple random walk operator π. Here are some simple examples: if one consider the (usual) Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}^k$, then $\sigma + \sigma^* = 2\pi$ at every vertex γ which does not lie on a coordinate hyperplane. If the graph is a regular tree of valency k, this constant will be $k/(k-1)$ except at the root. ♢

Remark 3.6.2: In analogy to the Martin boundary, one could define a "spooky" boundary as follows: take sequences $\{\gamma_n\} \in \delta B_n$ such that $M_n(x) := P(x \to \gamma_n)/P(e \to \gamma_n)$, where $P(a \to b)$ is the probability that the scared random walk (described above) starting at a reaches b. This boundary comes with a family of pseudo-metrics given by $d_R(\xi, \xi') = \|M_\xi(x) - M_{\xi'}(x)\|_{\ell^\infty(B_R)}$ for some fixed R, where M_ξ is a limit obtained as described above. These may be combined by taking $d(\xi, \xi') = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n d_n(\xi, \xi')$ where a_n is any absolutely summable sequence. However, since $R \mapsto \|M_\xi\|_{\ell^\infty(B_R)}$ may increase exponentially, it is necessary to take a sequence of a_n which tends quickly to 0 if one wants that d turns the boundary into a bounded metric space.

This boundary is not preserved by quasi-isometries (the Martin boundary in general is not). Actually, looking at the root-orientation in the Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}$ with generating set $\{1, k\}$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, one gets different number of limiting functions. This is not surprising since the geodesic growth function is not invariant under change of generating set (see *e.g.* Shapiro's paper [30, §1]), so there is little reason to believe the geodesic density should. However, outside a large enough ball B_n, the "spooky" measure still concentrates on a single induced $k\mathbb{Z} + n$ (for some $1 \leq n \leq k$). Since this boundary is indirectly used to get a conclusion on an invariant of quasi-isometry, it might still be interesting to see how the "spooky" boundary changes, if not under quasi-isometries, at least under change of a change of generating set. ♢

It is slightly frustrating that one may not get equivalence of vanishing in cohomology with the vanishing on the boundary in general. Here is a lemma which may bridge the two in some very particular situations.

Lemma 3.6.3. *Assume $g \in \mathsf{D}^p$ is such that $\forall \gamma, \exists \varepsilon_\gamma \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that*

$$\forall \gamma' \in N^+(\gamma), \varepsilon_\gamma \nabla g(\gamma, \gamma') \geq 0, \text{ then } \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(E)} \leq (N^+_{max})^{1/p} \|\sigma g - g\|_{\ell^p(E)}.$$

If there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every weakly connected component A of $X \setminus B_k$ and $\forall n \geq k$ the function $\gamma \mapsto \varepsilon_\gamma$ is constant on $A \cap \delta B_n$, then, $\forall n \geq k$, $\|\nabla \sigma^{\circ n} g\|_{\ell^p(E)} \leq (N^+_{max})^{1/p} \|\sigma^{\circ(n+1)} g - \sigma^{\circ n} g\|_{\ell^p(X)}$.

Proof. This is straightforward for $p = 1$ and ∞ and may be deduced by Riesz-Thorin interpolation for other p. As for the second claim, observe that $\sigma^{\circ n} g$ will satisfy the hypothesis (for any n) if the sign is the same on all $\gamma' \in C^n_\gamma$ where C^n_γ is the support of $\nu_{|\gamma|}$ on $\delta B_{|\gamma|+n}$ (*i.e.* the points that the “scared” random walker may reach after n steps starting from γ). In particular, this holds if the sign is constant on the $A \cap \delta B_n$ (since they form exactly the reunion of cones with non-trivial intersection). ☐

When Γ has one end, the stronger statement boils down, in the root-orientation, to ε_γ is constant on each δB_n for $n \gg 1$. When g is such that the above lemma applies, there are reasonable chances that $\sigma^{\circ n} g$ converges in the D^p-norm defined by the edges of the acyclic sub-orientation (which does not necessarily coincide with $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$).

As a matter of fact, things go through for some specific functions and the orientation they define themselves.

Corollary 3.6.4. *Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Let $g \in \mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$ be such that the gradient never vanishes, there is a finite number of minima (resp. maxima), no maximum (resp. minimum). Let E' be the positive (resp. negative) g-orientation, and ν_n be the corresponding measures. Assume ν_n satisfies $\|\nu_n\|_{\ell^\infty(X)} \leq K$ for some $K > 0$ and also (3.1.5) with $r = p'$. Then $[g] = 0 \in \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$ if and only if its boundary value is trivial.*

Proof. If the gradient of g never vanishes, then it gives rise to an acyclic orientation of Γ. Since (3.1.5) holds (and so proposition 3.2.6 also does), it suffices to show that if g has trivial boundary value the gradient never vanishes, there is a finite number of minima and no maximum, then $[g] = 0 \in \underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma)$. The positive orientation will have the set of minima as δB_0 (so it is finite) and no dead-ends (as this would be a maximum).

This acyclic orientation makes lemma 3.6.3 work (almost tautologically). Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \sigma^{\circ n} g\|_{\ell^p(E)} &\leq (N^+_{max})^{1/p} \|\sigma^{\circ(n+1)} g - \sigma^{\circ n} g\|_{\ell^p(X)} &&\leq (N^+_{max})^{1/p} \|\nabla g\|_{\mathsf{L}^p(E,\nu_n)} \\ &\leq (N^+_{max})^{1/p} \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(E(B^\mathsf{c}_n))} \|\nu_n\|_{\ell^\infty(X)} &&\leq (N^+_{max})^{1/p} K \|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(E(B^\mathsf{c}_n))} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\sigma^{\circ n} g$ converges in D^p to the trivial function, so that g is actually trivial in reduced cohomology. ☐

It seems plausible that one may perturb (by an element of $\ell^p(X)$) a function (in $\mathsf{D}^p(\Gamma)$) so that its gradient never vanishes. The remaining conditions should prove trickier to remove. Two conditions may be relaxed: the first condition on ν_n can easily be changed to $\|\nabla g\|_{\ell^p(E(B^\mathsf{c}_n))} \|\nu_n\|_{\ell^\infty(X)} \leq K$; the condition on the maxima and minima can also be relaxed as g can be modified by a function of finite support without changing its cohomology class (*i.e.* some extrema may be removed).

A Ends and degree one reduced ℓ^1-cohomology

This section is devoted to the reduced degree one ℓ^1-cohomology. This result is known (even well-known, if one adheres to the rule that at least 3 persons were aware of it): P. Pansu was aware of this, the main argument of non-vanishing is present in Martin & Valette [19, Example 3 in §4] who mention hearing it from M. Bourdon. It is included here for the sake of completion.

The ends of a graph can be thought of as the infinite components of a group which cannot be separated by a finite (*i.e.* compact) set. More precisely, given a exhausting increasing sequence of finite sets $F_i \subset X$ (*i.e.* $\cup F_i = X$), let $\mathcal{E}_i$ the set of infinite connected components. An end is a sequence $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $E_i \supset E_{i+1}$. It is relatively easy to convince oneself that a Cayley graph may only have $0, 1, 2$ or infinitely many ends.

Thanks to Stallings' theorem, groups with infinitely many ends contain an (non-trivial) amalgamated product or a (non-trivial) HNN extension (and in particular are not amenable). Being without ends is equivalent to being finite, and amenable groups may not have infinitely many ends. This may be seen using Stallings' theorem, see also Moon & Valette [20] for a direct proof (of a more general statement). An intuitive idea is that a Cayley graph with infinitely many ends contains has a quasi-isometry to a tree T with $\imath(T) > 0$, and hence cannot be amenable. Groups with two ends admit $\mathbb{Z}$ as a finite index subgroup. These groups are peculiar, as they have non-trivial reduced ℓ^1-cohomology in degree 1, even if their reduced ℓ^p-cohomology (in all degrees) vanishes for $1 < p < \infty$.

So outside virtually-$\mathbb{Z}$ groups, all infinite amenable groups have one end.

Proposition A.1. *Let Γ be a graph, then $\underline{\ell^1 H}^1(\Gamma) = 0$ if and only if the number of ends of Γ is ≤ 1.*

Proof. As in [19, Example 3 in §4], there are functionals $T : D^1(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{K}$ (one for each pair of ends) on functions with a ℓ^1-gradient which vanishes on $\overline{\nabla_1 \ell^1(X)}^{\ell^1(E)}$. Take two rays (half-infinite paths) going towards two distinct ends $\mathcal{E}_1 = (E_{i,1})$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 = (E_{i,2})$. More precisely, such a ray is a sequence of vertices γ_n such that $\forall i, \exists N$, such that $\forall n > N, \gamma_n \in E_i$. The difference of values of f can be recovered by summing the values of the gradient on the edges. Since it is of ℓ^1 gradient, the value at the end of the rays actually exists. Take the difference $T(f) := f(\xi_1) - f(\xi_2)$. Then T vanishes identically on $\overline{\nabla_1 \ell^1(X)}^{\ell^1(E)}$.

To define a function with ℓ^1 gradient which represents a non-trivial class in a group with many ends, take a finite sets K which separates (at least) two infinite components each containing one of the two ends ξ_1 and ξ_2. Define f to be equal to 1 on one of these components and 0 elsewhere. The gradient is finitely supported (so ℓ^1). However $T(f) = 1$. So $[f] \neq 0 \in \underline{\ell^1 H}^1(\Gamma)$.

On the other hand, if the group has one end then the value of f outside a finite set must all be almost equal. Indeed, take F a connected set so that $\|\nabla_1 f\|_{\ell^1(F^c)} \leq \varepsilon$, then since any two vertex outside of F are linked by a path outside of F, the value of f differs by at most ε. However, if the function f has non-trivial class then there must be at least two values which have an infinite level set. □

More precisely, the proof shows that, if $\partial\Gamma$ is the set of ends, $\underline{\ell^1 H}^1(\Gamma) = \mathbb{K}^{(\partial\Gamma)^{\wedge 2}}$ where $\partial\Gamma^{\wedge 2}$ is the set of unordered distinct pairs of elements of $\partial\Gamma$. This makes amenable groups with two ends to step strangely out of the crowd. Although their ℓ^p-cohomology is always trivial if $p > 1$, it is non-trivial for $p = 1$ (actually isomorphic to the base field). An amusing corollary is

Corollary A.2. *Let G be a finitely generated group. G has infinitely many ends if and only if for some (and hence all) Cayley graph Γ, $\forall p \in [1, \infty[$, $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma) \neq 0$. G has two ends if and only if for some (and hence all) Cayley graph Γ, $\forall p \in]1, \infty[$, $\underline{\ell^p H}^1(\Gamma) = 0$ but $\underline{\ell^1 H}^1(\Gamma) = \mathbb{K}$.*

Proof. Use proposition A.1 for reduced ℓ^1-cohomology, use Theorem 1.2 for groups with two ends, and finally use theorem 1.3 on groups with infinitely many ends (which are in particular non-amenable). □

References

[1] T. Austin and A. Naor and Y. Peres, The wreath product of $\mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ has Hilbert compression exponent $\frac{2}{3}$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137**(1):85–90, 2009.

[2] G. Baumslag, Wreath products of finitely presented groups, *Math. Z.* **75**:22–28, 1961.

[3] I. Benjamini and O. Schramm, Every graph with a positive Cheeger constant contains a tree with a positive Cheeger constant, *Geom. Func. Anal.*, **7**(3):403–419, 1997.

[4] M. Bourdon and H. Pajot, Cohomologie ℓ^p et espaces de Besov, *J. reine angew. Math.*, **558**:85–108, 2003.

[5] N. Brady and M. Clay and P. Dani, Morse theory and conjugacy classes of finite subgroups, *Geom. dedicata*, **147**(1):1–14, 2010.

[6] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov, L_2-cohomology and group cohomology, *Topology*, **25**:189–215, 1986.

[7] B. Eckmann, Introduction to ℓ_2 methods in topology: reduced ℓ_2 cohomology, harmonic chains and ℓ_2 Betti numbers, (notes prepared by Guido Mislin) *Israel J. Math.* **117**:183–219, 2000.

[8] G. Élek, Coarse cohomology and ℓ_p-cohomology, *K-Theory*, **13**:1–22, 1998.

[9] A. Erschler, On isoperimetric profiles of finitely generated groups, *Geom. Dedic.* **100**(1):157–171, 2003.

[10] D. Gaboriau, *Invariants ℓ^2 de relations d'équivalence et de groupes*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., **95**:93–150, 2002.

[11] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of groups, *in Geometric group theory (Vol. 2)*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. **182**, Cambridge University Press, 1993, viii+295,

[12] A. Guichardet, Sur la cohomologie des groupes topologiques II. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **96**:305–332, 1972.

[13] I. Holopainen and P. Soardi, p-harmonic functions on graphs and manifolds. *Manuscripta Math.*, **94**, 95–110, 1997.

[14] E. Kappos, ℓ^p-cohomology for groups of type FP_n. arχiν:math/0511002 (v2), 2006.

[15] V. A. Kaimanovich, Poisson boundaries of random walks on discrete solvable groups, *Probability measures on groups, X*, (Oberwolfach, 1990):205–238, Plenum, New York, 1991.

[16] M. Kanai, Rough isometries and combinatorial approximations of geometries of non-compact Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Soc, Japan* **37**:391–413, 1985.

[17] W. Lück, *L^2-invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge., 44. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[18] W. Lück, L^2-invariants from the algebraic point of view *in Geometric and cohomological methods in group theory*, 63–161, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 358. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[19] F. Martin and A. Valette, On the first L^p cohomology of discrete groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, **1**:81–100, 2007.

[20] S. Moon and A. Valette Non-properness of amenable actions on graphs with infinitely many ends. *Ischia group theory 2006*:227–233. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.

[21] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups, *J. Analyse Math.*, **48**:1–141, 1987.

[22] P. Pansu, Cohomologie L^p en degré 1 des espaces homogènes. *Potential Anal.*, **27**(2):151–165, 2007.

[23] P. Pansu, Cohomologie L^p, espaces homogènes et pincement. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **83**(2):327–357, 2008.

[24] P. Pansu, Cohomologie ℓ^p: invariance sous quasi-isométrie. Unpublished, but available on P. Pansu's webpage `http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~pansu/liste-prepub.html`, 1995 (updated in 2004).

[25] A. L. T. Paterson, *Amenability*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **29**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.

[26] J. P. Pier, *Amenable locally compact groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1984.

[27] M. J. Puls, The first L^p-cohomology of some finitely generated groups and p-harmonic functions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **237**(2):391–401, 2006.

[28] W. Rudin, “Functional analysis”. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Second edition. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.

[29] B. Seward, Burnside’s Problem, spanning trees, and tilings. arχiν:1104.1231 (v2), 2011.

[30] M. Shapiro, Pascal’s triangle in Abelian and Hyperbolic groups. *J. Aurstral. Math. Soc. (Series A)*, **63**:281–288, 1997.

[31] R. Tessera, Vanishing of the first reduced cohomology with values in an L^p-representation. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **59**(2):851–876, 2009.

[32] R. Tessera, Isoperimetric profile and random walks on locally compact solvable groups, to appear in *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, see also arχiν:0706.4257.

[33] A. Warshall, The asymptotic density of dead ends in non-amenable groups. arχiν:1006.3948 (v1), 2010.

[34] A. Warshall, A group with deep pockets for all finite generating sets. *Israel J. Math.*, **185**:317–342, 2011.